



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ON
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/073,581 | 02/12/2002 | Nicoletta M. Smith | USP1694A-DS2 | 4247 |
| 7590 | 01/30/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| RAYMOND Y. CHAN 1050 OAKDALE LANE ARCADIA, CA 91006 | | | BUI, LUAN KIM | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3728 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004 | |
| | | | 4 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| | 10/073,581 | SMITH, NICOLETTA M. |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Luan K Bui | 3728 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
 - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 December 2003.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____ .
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other: ____ .

Art Unit: 3728

1. Claims 17-19 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "said sealing opening" in claims 1 and 9 lacks proper antecedent basis. In claims 1 and 9, the phrase "a top receiving open" should be replaced with --a top receiving opening--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodchild (585,816) or Stevenot (786,692) in view of Rooney (3,017,063). Goodchild discloses a pocket comprising a back wall having a back wall layer and a front wall layer (A, C) and made of foldable sheet material, two side wings (B, B1) with each having an attachment hole (10) and

extended from a left and a right side of the back wall and folded on top of the back wall in such a manner that the two attachment holes overlaps with each other and to form a pocket body having a cavity with a top receiving opening, and a pocket cover (C1) extended from a top side of the back wall for closing the top receiving opening. Stevenot discloses a pocket comprising a back wall (A, D) made of foldable sheet material, two side wings (B, C) with each having an attachment hole (G) and extended from a left and a right side of the back wall and folded on top of the back wall in such a manner that the two attachment holes overlaps with each other and to form a pocket body having a cavity with a top receiving opening, and a pocket cover (E) extended from a top side of the back wall for closing the top receiving opening. Goodchild or Stevenot also discloses the other claimed limitations except for a width of the cavity being reduced gradually from the top receiving opening to a bottom of the cavity. Rooney shows a pocket having a width of a cavity is reduced gradually from a top receiving opening to a bottom of the cavity. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Rooney to modify the width of the pocket of Goodchild or Stevenot so the width of the cavity is reduced gradually from the top receiving opening to a bottom of the cavity for better securing an item. The pocket of Goodchild or Stevenot is capable of receiving a gum.

6. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Official Notice and Bellum et al. (6,032,799; hereinafter Bellum'799). Goodchild or Stevenot further fails to show a pocket dispenser having a storing chamber and a dispensing opening for dispensing the pockets. Official Notice is taken of the old and conventional practice of providing a dispenser for

dispensing sheets having a storing chamber for holding the sheets and an opening for dispensing the sheets. Bellum'799, is cited by way of example only, shows a dispenser (10, 12) having a storing chamber for holding labels (14) and an opening (24) for dispensing the labels. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Official Notice and Bellum'799 to modify the pocket of Goodchild or Stevenot so it includes a dispenser for holding a plurality of pockets and dispensing the pockets to provide more convenience for the users.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-8 and 11-16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is (703) 305-5861. If in receiving this Office Action, it is apparent to Applicant that certain documents are missing from the record for example copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to TC 3700 Customer Service at (703) 306-5648.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 872-9301. Facsimile correspondence for this application should be sent to (703) 872-9306 for Formal papers and After Final communications.

lkb
January 28, 2004


Luan K. Bui
Primary Examiner